



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time: 10/25/2017 12:56:50 PM

District Address: District 1 Construction Office, located at 801 N Broadway, Bartow, FL 33830

District Phone: (863) 519-2222

Proposal: T1698

Project: 436567-1-52-01

Letting Date: 10/25/2017

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 758

Question:	19679: On sheet #25 of the plans they show a street light pole relocate 715-4-60 however I do not see this on the Lighting pay items sheet will this be added. Also there are 3 pull boxes to replace at this same location.	Posted:	9/29/2017 10:10:59 AM
Answer:	Please refer to page 4 in the roadway plans under the summary of lighting where the 715-5-60 pay item is accounted for. The light pole relocate and the 3 pull boxes are included in the roadway plans and paid for under FPID 436567-1-52-01 as opposed to the rest of the intersection retrofit lighting which is funded through the 436567-1-52-02 safety funds. The design intent of the "relocation" is to raise the top of the light pole foundation to match the proposed grade of the sidewalk at the location shown on sheet 25 of the plans. The relocate will not be added to the lighting plans or the lighting tabulation sheet.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/4/2017 4:26:36 PM
Question:	19680: Will there be any 102-104 Temporary signalization and maintenance and 102-107-1 Temporary vehicle detection and maintenance on this Project or am I just overlooking it.	Posted:	9/29/2017 10:15:35 AM
Answer:	Pay Items 102-104 and 102-107-1 was added to the Contract Plans through Revision #1, Addendum #1 and sent out to all prospective bidders on 10/11/2017.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/11/2017 4:38:26 PM
Question:	19694: Can you add Pay-Items #102-104 and 102-107-1 for each existing intersection within the limits of construction. There should be a total of 720 CD for Pay-Items 102-104 and 102-107-1.	Posted:	10/2/2017 5:06:56 PM
Answer:	Pay Items 102-104 and 102-107-1 was added to the Contract Plans through Revision #1, Addendum #1 and sent out to all prospective bidders on 10/11/2017.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/11/2017 4:39:05 PM

Question:	19696: Bid Item 715-4-13 suggests these poles are per the current 17515 index, however the wind speed of (150 Mph) is not per the current 2017-2018 index. Based on previous winds speeds (130mph) for Sarasota county, the current wind speed should be 140 mph. Please advise if these poles should be built per the current 140 mph Sarasota County wind speeds or custom designed to 150 mph.	Posted:	10/3/2017 11:21:24 AM
Answer:	For clarification, please use standard poles as specified in FDOT Standard Index 17515, with a wind speed of 160 mph per latest FDOT Structural Manual.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/6/2017 6:56:05 AM
Question:	19698: Would fusion splicing be excepted as opposed to unicam connections.	Posted:	10/3/2017 1:10:28 PM
Answer:	Yes.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/4/2017 4:22:14 PM
Question:	19815: The CD for 102-4 and 102-7-1 should reflect 720 CD, why is the quantity in Addendum #1 540 CD. BOE Pay Item detail notes the following: Pay Item #102-104 For existing intersections, the quantity to be paid for will be the number of signalized intersections (per each, per day) for the full duration of the Contract. Pay Item #120-107-1 For existing intersections, the quantity to be paid for will be the number of signalized intersections (per each, per day) beginning the day contract time begins and ending the day the permanent detection is operational and in the final lane configuration.	Posted:	10/12/2017 12:08:45 PM
Answer:	The cattlemen Rd intersection is outside the limits of the project and currently uses video detection. No work is being done at this intersection and no impacts to detection are anticipated. There are three intersections within the limits of the project, with a contract duration of 180 days the results in a quantity of 540.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/13/2017 1:53:00 PM
Question:	19817: Question #19815's first sentence should have read: The CD for 102-104 and 102-7-1 should reflect 720 CD...	Posted:	10/12/2017 12:14:02 PM

Answer:	Three intersections are present within the project limits. The pay items for 102-104 and 102-107-1 account for 3 intersections for the full duration of the project. The Cattlemen road intersection is outside the limits of the project and currently uses video detection so no impact to detection shall not occur not will temporary signalization and maintenance be required.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/13/2017 1:51:26 PM
Question:	19818: Corrections to Question #19815: The CD for 102-104 and 102-107-1 should reflect 720 CD, why is the quantity in Addendum #1 540 CD. BOE Pay Item detail notes the following: Pay Item #102-104 For existing intersections, the quantity to be paid for will be the number of signalized intersections (per each, per day) for the full duration of the Contract. Pay Item #102-107-1 For existing intersections, the quantity to be paid for will be the number of signalized intersections (per each, per day) beginning the day contract time begins and ending the day the permanent detection is operational and in the final lane configuration.	Posted:	10/12/2017 12:25:57 PM
Answer:	The intersection at Cattlemen Rd. is video detection and outside the limits of the project and shall not need temporary detection or signalization as no other work is being done at that intersection. Three signals are present along the corridor with a contract duration of 180 days, resulting in a quantity of 540.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/13/2017 1:50:11 PM
Question:	19824: Pay Item Notes: 700-S-21, 700-S-22: FOR POLE 2-2, INTERNALLY ILLUMINATED STREET NAME SIGNS TO BE MOUNTED RIGIDLY ON MAST ARM. FOR POLES 2-1 AND 2-2, INTERNALLY ILLUMINATED STREET NAME SIGNS TO BE MOUNTED FREE SWINGING ON POLE BRACKET ARM ATTACHED TO POLE. Pay Item notes are conflicting, please clarify the sign mounting requirements for Pole 2-2. Why not make Sign A & B Double Sided and mount free swinging on the Mast Arm for Structure 2-2?	Posted:	10/13/2017 9:54:39 AM

Answer:		Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
---------	--	---------	------------------

Question: Pay Item Notes:

700-S-21, 700-S-22:

Posted: 10/18/2017 5:28:04 PM

Question 1. FOR POLE 2-2, INTERNALLY ILLUMINATED STREET NAME SIGNS TO BE MOUNTED RIGIDLY ON MAST ARM.

Answer 1. Correct.

Question 2. FOR POLES 2-1 AND 2-2, INTERNALLY ILLUMINATED STREET NAME SIGNS TO BE MOUNTED FREE SWINGING ON POLE BRACKET ARM ATTACHED TO POLE.

Answer 2. The note that you reference on sheet T-5 should read poles 2-1 and 2-3.

Question 3. Pay Item notes are conflicting, please clarify the sign mounting requirements for Pole 2-2.

Answer 3. The mounting requirement for pole 2-2 is to rigid mount to the mast arm.

Question 4. Why not make Sign A & B Double Sided and mount free swinging on the Mast Arm for Structure 2-2?

Answer 4. Please prepare your bids based on the contract plans.

Question:		Posted:	10/17/2017 10:25:27 AM
-----------	--	---------	------------------------

19843: Regarding the answer to Question #19818; please refer to plan sheet T-10 of the Signalization Plans. Work includes the following Pay-Items:

660-4-41
663-1-400
670-5-111
685-1-13
633-2-31
633-3-13
633-3-15
633-3-34
684-1-1
684-2-1
684-3-11

180 CD should be added for 102-104 & 102-107-1 for the intersection at Cattleman Road.

Answer:	Please bid the plans as shown in Revision 1, Addendum 1.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
---------	--	---------	------------------

Posted: 10/18/2017 5:23:11 PM

Question:		Posted:	10/17/2017 3:49:30 PM
-----------	--	---------	-----------------------

19852: T1698 has paving of 4.5" for the mainline with a structure and Friction application of asphalt. One pay item exists for painting which is 710-90 for the Friction. Will pay items be added for the temp striping and temp RPMs for the structure asphalt?

Answer:	Please bid the contract plans as shown.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/18/2017 5:25:03 PM

Question:	19858: Will the Department make available any existing pavement core information?	Posted:	10/18/2017 8:48:15 AM
-----------	---	---------	-----------------------

Answer:	The Department does not guarantee the details or computations contained within the pavement core report for FPID.436567-1-52-01 and FPID. 436567-1-52-02. The pavement core report is provided for informational purposes only and is not part of the contract documents. The bidder's submission of a proposal is prima facie evidence that the bidder has not relied on the pavement core report. No claim for compensation may be based on an inaccuracy contained within the pavement core report or the information given within this answer. The pavement core may be downloaded as labeled "Attachment "A" .	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/18/2017 1:53:34 PM

Document: 6567507: 436567-1 Pavement Cores.pdf

Attachment "A"

Question:	19859: What is the reason for the 1/2" leveling asphalt lift (Skim Coat)?	Posted:	10/18/2017 8:50:04 AM
-----------	---	---------	-----------------------

Answer:	Based on engineering analysis of available information regarding the existing conditions, determination was made to utilize the aforementioned skim coat.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/19/2017 1:46:22 PM

Question:	19883: Based on the core information provided,it appears the proposed 4.50" milling depth will mill through the existing ARMI layer and into the shell base. The exposed base will require a prime coat. The prime material will need time to break which will impact paving production in a tight working window. Will the department increase the working window or eliminate the proposed damage recovery specification?	Posted:	10/19/2017 11:17:06 AM
-----------	---	---------	------------------------

Answer:	Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh calendar day prior to the bid opening, or tenth day prior to the December bid opening will be responded to by the Department. For Questions posted after these times, an answer cannot be assured.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/23/2017 3:25:04 AM